

Message

---

**From:** TU, LYNDSEY [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=1BBD1651E4434BD78233A6BC426D56F1-TU, LYNDSEY]  
**Sent:** 4/16/2018 11:24:51 PM  
**To:** Grange, Gabrielle Fenix [Gabrielle.Grange@doh.hawaii.gov]; Matt Tonkin [matt@sspa.com]  
**CC:** Ichinotsubo, Lene K [lene.ichinotsubo@doh.hawaii.gov] [lene.ichinotsubo@doh.hawaii.gov]; Shalev, Omer [Shalev.Omer@epa.gov]; Pallarino, Bob [Pallarino.Bob@epa.gov]; Linder, Steven [Linder.Steven@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Prep for our Call tomorrow and Additional Review of Hawaii Red Hill Data  
**Attachments:** Hawaii AECOM Data Assessment.docx; Section 6 & 7 Regulatory Data Needs

Hi All,

Not sure who exactly from DOH is planning to attend our call tomorrow, so please forward on to anyone I missed. As a part of our assessment of the Navy's data, we asked our GIS group to take a look at the data that the Navy provided and the initial assessment of their contractor is attached. Overall their assessment mirrors the memo that Matt provided. There is a lot of data present, but it is not centralized and there is not a roadmap to explain the linkage to make it easy to understand.

A few points we've previously discussed to refresh us for tomorrow's call:

- At this point we do not have the information we need to review projections/conclusions made by an interim model, and we do not know when we will.
- Based on what the Navy has provided we are unsure of the comprehensiveness and accessibility (reviewability) of work that will be provided with the interim model.
- Agreement or understanding of the basis of the interim model is critical for its usability in the first Tank Upgrade Decision.

Looking forward to this discussion tomorrow, and hearing more from the Navy about their data management.

Thanks

Lyndsey Tu  
Underground Storage Tanks Program  
Land Division, U.S. EPA Pacific Southwest  
[Tu.Lyndsey@epa.gov](mailto:Tu.Lyndsey@epa.gov) | 415-972-3269